for which derivative pairs existed before 1400. Homological stress has not, however, developed (with the exception of spirit/spiritual), probably on account of the small number of derivatives (see 4.6.18), possibly also under the influence of sial words. Other sfs have become productive on a native basis of coining too late for a homological stress pattern to be able to uproot the much older rhythmic stress pattern that had developed with loan words ( _ical, $\leq i t y$, _ic; see also above 4.1.28). The sf -ician also has preserved the ME stress pattern with the original French secondary stress on the first changed into the principal stress. The trisyllabic form, common till the 16 th c. (see Jesp. I. 9. 87), is probably one of the reasons. Otherwise the derivative alternation magic/magician existed as early as the 14 th c. (see 4.44.1).
4. 1. 26. With the stressing of words in -ation, -arian, -arious, -orial, -orious the Latin has probably played a part. The speaker was certainly conscious of the Latin words in -átio, -árius, -órius for which the English words stood. It is otherwise difficult to understand why no homological stress pattern should have developed between purify/purification, canonize/canonization though the derivative alternations are as old as the 14th c.

## Phonological changes of vowel or consonant in derivation

4. 1.27. Derivation by means of foreign sfs, esp. those which derive on a NL basis, often involve phonological changes of vowel or consonant. Vowel and consonant changes most often go with stress shift. In several cases, however, we have vocalic and consonantal alternations but no shifted stress. Exs of the latter are: sincére/sincérity [ir/criti], austere/austerity, severe/severity, extreme/extremity; bronchítis/bronchític [atis//tik], otitis/otitic, peritonitis/peritonitic; tenácious/tenácity [ešos/æstı1], capacious/capacity, predacious/predacity; ferócious/ferócity [ošos/psitr], atrocious/atrocity.

Vowel and consonant changes are accompanied by stress shift in the following cases:

Type ártifice/artifícial, ávarice/avaricious [1s/ıšol] resp. [1s/išos].
If a word in -ice, pron. [ss] (or occ. [aıs]) derives by means of a sf beginning with [1] (chiefly -ial, -iary, -ious), we have the alternation [is (ans) /išol, 1šərı, ıšos]. Exs are benefice/beneficial, beneficiary, auspice/auspicial, auspicious, prejudice/prejudicial, office/official, officious, with [ai] in the radical sacrifice/ sacrificial, vice/vicious.

Type mechánic/mechanícian. This correlative type implies the phonological alternation [ $\mathrm{lk} / \mathrm{I}$ šon]. For exs see 4. 44.

Type históric / históricism / historícity. If a word in -ic forms derivatives in -ism -ist, ize- (without stress shift), sity (with shifted stress), the alternations [ $\mathrm{ik} / \mathrm{ssizm}$, 1sist, 1sitr-] are involved. Exs are attic/atticism, atticist, atticize, historic/historicism, historicist, historicity, aesthetic/aestheticism. The change occurs also with derivatives from -ac: Syriacize (Toynbee) f. Syriac. Catholic, catholicism, catholicity shows an isolated stress pattern. The preceding phonological alternations have derivative value.
4.1.28. As for the other vowel changes involved, the following tendencies are observed. The full stressed vowel of the initial syllable in the radical is
retained when it receives a middle stress in the derivative. Exs are: pátriarch/ pàtriárchal, pólitics/pọlitícian, éditor/èditórial, sécretary/sècrcretárial, mánager/ mànagérial, ínstrument/ìnstruméntal, äldernan/äldermánic, aúthorize|aùthorizátion.

The stressed vowel of the radical stands in the syllable immediately preceding the full stress in the derivative i.e. has weak stress. A distinction has to be made between vowels in closed and in open syllables.

Short full vowels in open syllables change the vowel to [ə]: hábit/habitual, válid/ validity, ácid|ácidity, plácid/placidity, sb áffix/vb affix, sb próduce/vb produice;
[1] is retained: lizvid/lividity; [ $\varepsilon$ ] is changed to [1]: sb rébel/|vb reebel, sb récord/ vb recórd, sb présent/vb presént, éditlededition, tépid|tẹpidity, trépidj|trepidity.
Short vowels in closed syllables tend to be retained, with the exception of [ 0 ]: adj $\underline{a} b s e n t / v b$ absént, táctics/tactician, sb áccent/vb áccént |/ sb ééxcerpt/vb excérpt, sb éxport/vb expórt, sb éscort/vb escórt || pưblic/pūblicity, rứstic/ rusticity (but sb subject [ A ] against vb subject [e]; perh. because only prf subhas the full vowel?) // [p] is changed to [ə]: cómplex/compléxity, sb cónfine/vb confine, sb cornvict/vb convict.

Some long vowels are retained in quality and quantity; others are shortened, though no fixed rule seems possible: [ 0 ] tends to be retained: sb arigment/vb augmént, sb tórment/vb tormént, caûsal/causálity || [i] tends to be shortened: équal/equálity, légal/legálity, adj fréquent/vb frequént, sb régress/vb regréss I/ [a] tends to be retained: órrtist|artistic, bárbarous|barbárity, sárcasm/sarcástic // [3] is regularly shortened: sb pêrmit/vb permit, sb pérfume/vb pẹrfúme, sb férment/vb fermént // [u] tends to be retained: brǐital/brutálity, neítral/neutrálity, rherimatism/rheumátic.
Diphthongs vary: [ 0 ] is retained with a glide by some speakers, reduced by others in tónal/tonálity, tótal/totálity, vócal/vocallic, but the alternation [o/0] has morphological value in sb proggress/vb progréss, sb protést/vb protést. [a1] is always retained in finnal/finálity, but in other cases it may also alternate with [ [], as in minor/minórity, cíte/citataion || [e] tends to be reduced to [ə]: ágent|agéntial, fátal/fatálity, sáline/salínity, májor/majoórity.
4.1.29. The full stressed, non-initial vowel of the radical comes to stand in weak stressed syllable before the main stress of the derivative. Short vowels in an open syllable are reduced to [ə]: Japán/Japąnése, mechánic/mechanician, mathemätics/mathematician || históric/historicity, atómic/atomicity.

Short vowels are retained when standing in closed syllable : eléctric/electricity, eccéntric/ecceentricity, augmệnt/augmẹntátion, dialéctics/dialectician || elăstic| elasticity, retráct/retractátion.

Long vowels tend to be shortened: transfér/transferreé, refér/reférée || restöre| restorátion, adóre/adorátion || retárd|retardátion || extérnal/externálity. But cp . advantage with $[æ]$ and advantágeous with [ə].
Diphthongs are reduced: [ar] alternates with [1], as in respíre/respirátion, admíre/admirátion.
If a full stressed vowel of the radical receives middle stress in the derivative, it is not changed: restọrable/restòrability || supérior/supèrrörity || extérminate| extèrminátion || compătible/compàtibility, inflámmable/infămmability, spectácular/spectà culárity.
4. 1. 30. If the middle or weak stressed vowel of one of the syllables after the main stress takes the main stress in the derivative, it is raised in volume. In most of all cases this concerns [ə] which may be raised to various vowels, the choice of which is often (esp. with proper names) merely dictated by the spelling: superior/superiórity [ $\mathrm{\theta} / \mathrm{D}]$, similar/similárity, mental/mentálity [ $\mathrm{\rho} / æ]$, ceremony/ceremónial, ceremony/ceremónious, Milton/Miltónian [ə/o], censor/ censórious, senator/senatórial [ $\mathrm{\rho} / \mathrm{\rho}]$, Milton/Miltónic [ $\mathrm{\rho} / \mathrm{p}]$, agent/agéntial, element/ eleméntal, eleméntary $[\mathrm{\sigma} / \varepsilon]$, secretary/secretárial $[\partial / \varepsilon]$, $[\varepsilon / \mathrm{e}][\varepsilon / \varepsilon]$, minister/ministérial, manager/managérial, Spenser/Spensérian [ə/ir], Galsworthy/Galswórthian [ $\mathrm{\rho} / \mathrm{s}$ ], ammoniac/ammoniacal[1/a1], Shaw/Shavian [ $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{e}]$, Marlowe/Marlovian [o/ov]. The pair anthracite/anthracitic ait/1tik represents the regular derivative alternation while no diphthong is changed in pairs of the type alkaloid/alkaloidal.
4.1.31. Vowels which are weakly stressed in the radical as well as in the derivative are retained: mathemátics/mathematician, mánager/managérial, supérior/superiórity, locáte/locátion BE .

If, however, the vowel [ $\partial$ ] in an initial syllable (where it is always weakly stressed) receives middle stress in the derivative, it is raised to a fuller vowel: atomic/àtomícity, a advantage/ădvantágeous, státistics/stàtistician, Japan/Jàpanése have the alternation [ $\odot / æ$ ]. Short [1] is sometimes lowered to [ $\varepsilon$ ], as in respire/ rèspiration, restore/rêstoration, mechanics/mèchanician, refer/rêfferee, sometimes raised to [i], as in retard/rètardation, retentive/rètentivity, retract/rètractation.

On the other hand, a diphthong which has middle stress in the radical may be reduced in the derivative if the syllable in which it stands is only weakly stressed. Alternation of [a1/1] occurs beside that of [a1/ar] in pairs of the type civilize/civilization. Cf. also the type edify/edification.

## Word-formation on a native basis of coining

4.1.32. All native suffixes and many suffixes of foreign origin are tacked on to the English word without any phonologic changes modifying the derivative as against the basis. In present-day English, the final sounds of the basis are not changed, regardless whether the suffix begins with a vowel or a consonant. Hiatus is not avoided, as is illustrated by such derivatives as suable, drayage, withdrawal, Garboesque, boyish, truism, cityite, showy.

With speakers who do not pronounce final $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}]$ after a long stressed vowel (beer, bear, bar, boar, burr, boor) or after an unstressed [ $[$ ] ([ə] cannot occur in a stressed position), as in author, water, [ r$]$ nevertheless appears in the derivative when the suffix begins with a vowel: beery, bearish, boarish, burry, boorish, authoress, watery.

Derivation from disyllabic words ending in [1] shows two patterns when the suffix begins with a vowel. 1) final syllabic [ [] loses its syllabic character in the derivative, as in angle/angler, haggle/haggler, nibble/nibbling, sample/ sampler, shuffle/shuffler, peddle/peddler, tattle/tattler, tickle/ticklish, sizzle/sizzling, bustle/bustling. 2) syllabic [1] remains syllabic in the derivative. The type applies when [l] is preceded by [r], [ $n],[\mathrm{v}],[\mathrm{tš}],[\mathrm{d} \check{z}]$, or a vowel, as in quarrel/ quarreling, travel/traveler, funnel/funneling, hatchel/hatcheling, cudgel/cudgeling, jewel/jeweler.

Latin-coined. words in -al fall into this latter group, not dropping the vowel in the derivative. To put it more correctly, such words do not end in a syllabic [1] but in biphonemic [əl]. Cp. nibble/nibbler and herbal/herbalist, haggle/haggler and legal/legalist. In suffixal derivation involving stress this vowel alternates with [æ]. In part it is probably the spelling that is responsible for the alternations. Spelling appears to account for other alternations, too. Cp. peddle/ peddler, pedlar and pedal/pedaler, pedaling; gamble/gambling and gambol/gamboling. Unless we invoke the same principle of explanation, it will be difficult to tell why different types of alternation hold for drizzle/drizzling and chisel/ chiseling. It might not even be wrong to assume that the whole group deviating from pattern 1) owes its type of alternation to spelling.
4. 1. 33. We have another instance of change at the end of the root in Latincoined damnation, damnable, condemnation, condemnable as against damn, condemn where the final $n$ of the cluster $m n$ has been dropped in accordance with the rules of phonetic development. But the native sfs $-e r$, -ing derive on the homologic pattern just described: condemner [kondemə(r)], condemning [demin].

With the sfs -ure and -ier we have consonantal alternation between [s] and $[\mathrm{s}],[\mathrm{z}]$ and [ $[\mathrm{K}]$ (see 4.30.18 and 4.77.5). Other changes in the radical are found with sfs which have for centuries ceased to be productive, as -ier (coal/collier), -ern (south/southern). They are derivationally not relevant to the structure of PE.

In OE the sfs -en (gold/gylden, see 4.27.2), -ish (Welsh, OE Welisc f. Walh, see 4.50.1) involved vowel mutation. By the ME period the vowels of the derivatives had all become homologically refashioned after the radicals. Ablaut as a derivative principle with the so-called gradation nouns (rād/ridan, bora/beran) was already dead in OE.
4. 1. 34. Derivation does not involve phonological changes of voice in PE as it did in former stages of the language. Up to EMOE phonological opposition of voiceless and voiced fricative was a derivative element, relevant to the distinction between nouns and verbs. This was originally a merely mechanical development: OE and ME final fricatives were voiceless versus voiced fricatives in medial position ${ }^{1}$. The first case occurred with uninflected noun forms ( $h \bar{u} s$, lüs, wïf, cnīf etc.), the second with inflected noun forms (this case is relevant to accidence, not to word-formation) and denominal derivatives, i.e. verbs or nominal derivatives containing a suffix.

The older stage of relevant phonological opposition is illustrated by advice 1297 (= OF avis) / advise 1297 (= OF aviser), close 1325 / close 1205, device 1290 (OF devis) / devise 1300 (OF deviser), diffuse 1526, obviously the unvoiced vb / diffuse 1400, excuse 1374, voiced in French, unvoiced in contrast to the vb / excuse 1225, grease 1290 / grease 1380 , voiced in contrast to the sb from which it is derived, house OE / house OE, louse OE / louse 1440, voiced in contrast to the sb from which it is derived, mouse OE / mouse 1250, voiced in contrast, use 1225 (OF us) / use 1240 (OF user).
belief, OE bilëafe becomes beleeve, unvoiced in 16th c. in contrast to the vb / believe 1200, f. OE līefan, calf OE / calve OE , grief 1225 (OF grief) / grieve 1225

[^0](OF grever), half OE / halve 1300, proof 1225 (prob. the unvoiced derivative from the vb) / prove 1175 (OF prover), safe 1297 (OF sauf) / save 1250 (OF sauver), sheaf OE / sheave 1579, shelf 'bookshelf' 1386 / shelve 1598, strife 1225 ( OF estrif) / strive 1225 ( OF estriver), thief OE / thieve OE , wife OE / wive OE , wolf OE / wolve 1702, is prob. older as wolver is rec. 1593.
mouth $\mathrm{OE} /$ mouth 1300 , teeth $\mathrm{OE}(\mathrm{pl} . t \bar{e} \bar{p})$ / teethe 1410 , sheath $\mathrm{OE} /$ sheathe 1400.

The following is a list of nominal derivatives having a suffix: leavy 1420 , obs. wolvish 1430-1817, thievish 1450 (or f. vb thieve), obs. wivish 1535-1664, elvish 1340, wively, wiveless, liveless, all occas. in EMOE, hooved 1513, leaved 1250, obs. leaveless 1581-1638, wivehood, occas. in EMIOE, thievedom, occas. in EMoE / mouthed 13.., mouthy 1589 (or f. the vb), northern OE, worthy 1250 /| lousy 1377 (or f. the vb), greasy 1514 (two pronunciations), greaser 1641 (two pron.).

The oppositional type seems to be productive till about 1600, as the foregoing exs show. There is a modern knive 1850, but the usual word is knife.
4. 1. 35. The PE types are knife vb f. knife sb, wolfish f. wolf. Derivation of this kind must have set in about 1400 if we consider that tooth 1410 has a voiceless fricative (though chiefly occurring in form toothing where the fricative is medial) while teethe, rec. also 1410, has the voiced fricative. Exs of denominal vbs are grass 1460 , price 1490 (the deverbal sb rise 1410 has a voiced fricative, which also seems to point out the existence of the new derivational type about 1400) and the deverbal sb close is rec. even somewhat earlier (1399) / deaf 1460, sheat 1506, scurf 1599, hoof (it) 1641, knife, life, shelf, staff, beef, brief, wolf (all 19th c.) / tooth 1460, unearth 1450, sleuth vb 1905.
Suffixal derivatives are mousy dim. 1693, adj 1812, mousery 1888 / scurfy 1483, leafy 1552, shelfy 1576 'full of sandbanks' / frothy 1533, breathy 1528, earthy 1555, lengthy 1759 || leafed 1552, hoofed 1607 | toothed 13.., sheathed 1664 || wifeless, lifeless (OE), leafless 1590 || wifely (OE) || wolfish 1570, elfish 1542, dwarish 1573, deafish 1611, selfish 1640, wifish 1773 || lifer 1830 || mouther 'blow on the mouth' 1814 /| wifie 1841 / selfism 1823 / mouthing 'entrance to a mine' 1883 / deafen 1597, strengthen 14th c., lengthen $1520^{1}$.
4.1.36. The invasion of French, Latin, and Greek words did not oust the native suffixes as it did the prefixes. It has exercised a restricting, modifying influence only. The suffixes -ly, -some, -dom, -hood, -ship, for instance, do not have the derivative range the corresponding German suffixes have. On the other hand, such a suffix as OE -b㸚e, the counterpart of G -bar, had died out by the Middle English period, for reasons not connected with the Norman Conquest.
4.1.37. Suffixes may be 'synonymous' in the same way as full words are, viz. they partially overlap semantically. As far as New Yorker, Chicagoan, Manhattanite, Viennese are concerned, the four suffixes represent the same

[^1]concept 'inhabitant of . . .' (for a parallel case of inflectional endings compare L amic-i, reg-is, exercit-us). They are what American linguists call alternants while Bally speaks of suppletion. However, each one suffix has a different totality of semantic features. No two combine alike formally or with the same intellectual or emotional connotation, though in particular cases two types are very nearly interchangeable (cf. the suffixal extensions -ableness and -ability, 4.55.4). But the fact remains that interchangeability applies only to certain pairs, i.e. it is never more than partial. Any one sign is determined by the totality of combinations in which it may occur and which cannot be the same as that of another sign.
4.1.38. The difference between a prefix and a suffix is that a prefix is an extinct first-word while a suffix is an extinct second-word, historically speaking. As the first element of a combination is not the grammatically dominant part, the prefix can only modify the word to which it is affixed without having any hold on its grammatical position. A prefixal derivative joins the category the unprefixed word belongs to. In a suffixal derivative, however, the suffix is the grammatically dominant part. In most cases, it is also the semantically dominant element and the determinatum of the syntagma. In combinations such as father-hood, father-ly, the word father merely determines what is essentially a '-hood' or '-ly' respectively. There is, however, a certain category of suffixal syntagmas where the relationship is reversed. Combinations based on the underlying theme of appreciation stand out as exceptions. Types of this lkind are substantives with a diminutive (endearing) or pejorative suffix (daddy, boykin, booklet, squireling), adjectives with an approximative suffix (yellowish, bluey), verbs with a diminutive or frequentative suffix (patter, crackle). Semantically speaking, a $d a d d y$ is still basically a dad, a booklet still a book, a squireling a squire. The quality of yellow is only restricted in yellowish, and crackle merely refers to a series of crack noises. These are therefore cases of syntagmas in which the determinatum precedes the determinant. With compounds we have a similar case in appositional combinations such as fisherman, messenger boy where the first element dominates semantically.

The preceding cases of a suffix determining the radical help to explain how sometimes prefixes (which are determinants by nature) and suffixes express similar ideas. There is apparently no great difference between the types yellowish and unhappy as both affixes have determinant character. Cf. also L permagnus 'very great' and E greatish 'somewhat great', L florescere and G erblühen (both denoting incipient action or incipient change of state). These observations do not, however, invalidate the general principle that a prefix is the determinant of a syntagma whereas a suffix is the determinatum. Cf. 3. 1. 15.

### 4.2.1. -able /abl/

English owes this suffix to Old French from which it borrowed words such as agreeable, comfortable, blamable, comparable, desirable, measurable, damnable, deceivable, profitable. changeable, favorable, passable, serviceable, reasonable, acceptable, commendable, determinable, all ME.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the historical aspect of the question see O. Jespersen, Linguistica, Copenhagen 1933, 346ff. (Voiced and voiceless fricatives in English).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sfs -hood and -ship are not dead, as is wrongly asserted in F. Mossé, Esquisse d'une histoire de la langue anglaise, p. 95.

